The Melitz Model: Slides

Alexander Tarasov

University of Munich

Summer 2010

3

Empirical Evidence

BEJK (2003)

- Exporters are in the minority. In 1992, only 21% of U.S. plants reported exporting anything.
- Exporters sell most of their output domestically: around 2/3 of exporters sell less than 10% of their output abroad.
- Exporters are bigger than non-exporters: they ship on average 5.6 times more than nonexporters (4.8 times more domestically).
- Plants are also heterogeneous in measured productivity.
 - exporters have, on average, a 33% advantage in labor productivity relative to nonexporters

This suggests that the most productive firms self-select into export markets, but it could also reflect learning by exporting.

Alexander Tarasov (University of Munich)

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Empirical Evidence

- There are substantial reallocation effects within an industry following trade liberalization episodes.
 - Exposure to trade forces the least productive firms to exit or shut-down (Bernard and Jensen (1999), Aw et al. (2000), Clerides et al. (1998)).
 - Trade liberalization leads to market share reallocations towards more productive firms, thereby increasing aggregate productivity (Pavcnik (2002), Bernard and Jensen (1999b)).
- Theoretical frameworks for studying firms and the decision to export should include two features:
 - within sectoral heterogeneity in size and productivity
 - a feature that leads only the most productive firms to engage in foreign trade

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Melitz (2003) develops a *highly tractable* framework that captures the empirical evidence.

Notice! The Krugman model is not able to explain the evidence discussed above. In the model, all firms are identical and export to all possible destinations.

- The Krugman model (with monopolistic competition and increasing returns) with heterogenous firms.
- Variable and fixed costs of trade.
- BEJK (2003) develops another approach based on the Ricardian model of trade that is also able to capture the evidence!
 - fixed (exogenous) number of varieties: competition between domestic and foreign producers of the same variety
 - endogenous markups

・ ロ ト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ト

Demand

A CES utility function over a continuum of goods indexed by ω:

$$\mathsf{Q} = \left[\int_{\omega\in\Omega} \mathsf{q}(\omega)^{
ho} \mathsf{d}\omega
ight]^{1/
ho}$$

where Ω is the set of available goods and $\rho \in (0, 1)$. The elasticity of substitution between two goods is

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{1 - \rho} \iff \rho = \frac{\sigma - 1}{\sigma}$$

Demand for a certain variety:

$$\mathbf{q}(\omega) = \mathbf{Q} \left[rac{\mathbf{p}(\omega)}{\mathbf{P}}
ight]^{-\sigma}$$

Demand

• The CES price index:

$$\mathbf{P} = \left[\int_{\omega\in\Omega} \mathbf{p}(\omega)^{1-\sigma} \mathbf{d}\omega
ight]^{rac{1}{1-\sigma}}$$

Expenditure on a variety ω:

$$r(\omega) = p(\omega)q(\omega) = R\left[rac{p(\omega)}{P}
ight]^{1-\sigma},$$

where $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{Q} = \int_{\omega \in \Omega} r(\omega) d\omega$ is aggregate expenditure.

Production

- Continuum of firms
- Labor is the only factor of production: L
- Total costs:

$$I = f + q / \varphi$$

where φ is a firm specific productivity and q is the output.

• Given the demand function:

$$p(\varphi) = \frac{w}{\rho \varphi}$$

where *w* is the wage rate, which is normalized to unity: w = 1.

・ ロ ト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ト

Production

• Firm profit is given by

$$\pi(\varphi) = \frac{R}{\sigma} \left(P \rho \varphi \right)^{\sigma-1} - f$$

Revenues:

$$r(\varphi) = p(\varphi)q(\varphi) = R(P\rho\varphi)^{\sigma-1}.$$

Notice that

$$\pi(\varphi) = \frac{r(\varphi)}{\sigma} - f.$$

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Production

• Finally, for any φ_1 and φ_2 ,

$$\frac{r(\varphi_1)}{r(\varphi_2)} = \left(\frac{\varphi_1}{\varphi_2}\right)^{\sigma-1}$$

 In summary, a more productive firm will be bigger (larger output and revenues), charge a lower price, and earn higher profits than a less productive firm.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Aggregation

- An equilibrium is characterized by a mass *M* of firms (and hence *M* goods) and a distribution μ(φ) of productivity levels over a subset of (0,∞).
- You can think that there are $M\mu(\varphi)$ firms with productivity φ .
- Then, the price index

$$P = \left[\int_0^\infty p(\varphi)^{1-\sigma} M\mu(\varphi) d\varphi \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \\ = \left[\int_0^\infty \left[\frac{1}{\rho\varphi} \right]^{1-\sigma} M\mu(\varphi) d\varphi \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \\ = M^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \frac{1}{\rho \left[\int_0^\infty \varphi^{\sigma-1} \mu(\varphi) d\varphi \right]^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}}.$$

・ ロ ト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ト

Aggregation

Let us define

$$ilde{\varphi} = \left[\int_0^\infty \varphi^{\sigma-1} \mu(\varphi) d\varphi
ight]^{rac{1}{\sigma-1}}$$

as a weighted average of the firm productivities.

Then,

$$P = M^{rac{1}{1-\sigma}}p(ilde{q})$$

 $Q = M^{1/
ho}q(ilde{q})$

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Aggregation

Moreover,

$$R = PQ = Mp(\tilde{\varphi})q(\tilde{\varphi}) = Mr(\tilde{\varphi})$$
$$\Pi = M\pi(\tilde{\varphi})$$

where $\Pi = \int_0^\infty \pi(\varphi) M \mu(\varphi) d\varphi$ is total profits.

An industry comprised of *M* firms with any distribution of productivity levels μ(φ) that yields the same average productivity φ̃ will also induce the same aggregate outcome as an industry with *M* representative firms sharing the same productivity φ = φ̃ (the Krugman model).

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Firm Entry and Exit

- There is a large (unbounded) pool of prospective entrants into the industry.
- To enter, firms must make an initial investment modeled as a fixed entry cost $f_e > 0$ (measured in labor units).
- Firms then draw their initial productivity parameter φ from a common distribution g(φ) with the support on [0,∞).
- Conditional on the productivity drawn, firms decided whether to stay and produce or to exit and not produce.
- If the firm does produce, it then faces a constant probability δ in every period of a bad shock that would force it to exit.
- We consider only steady state equilibria in which aggregate variables remain constant over time.

Alexander Tarasov (University of Munich)

Firm Entry and Exit

Each firm's value function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{v}(\varphi) &= \max(\mathbf{0}, \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (1-\delta)^t \pi(\varphi)) \\ &= \max(\mathbf{0}, \frac{\pi(\varphi)}{\delta}). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that φ does not change over time.

 Define φ* as the cutoff level of productivity. That is, firms with φ < φ* exit and do not produce. Then,

$$arphi^* = \mathsf{inf}\left(arphi: oldsymbol{
u}(arphi) > oldsymbol{0}
ight)$$
 .

The zero profit condition:

$$\pi(\varphi^*) = \mathbf{0}$$

Alexander Tarasov (University of Munich)

Firm Entry and Exit

• Therefore, $\mu(\varphi)$ is the conditional distribution of $g(\varphi)$ on $[\varphi^*,\infty)$:

$$\mu(\varphi) = \left\{ egin{array}{c} rac{g(arphi)}{1-G(arphi^*)}, & ext{if } arphi \geq arphi^* \\ 0, & ext{otherwise,} \end{array}
ight.$$

and $p_{in} = 1 - G(\phi^*)$ is the probability of successful entry.

Therefore,

$$\tilde{\varphi} = \tilde{\varphi}(\varphi^*) = \left[\frac{1}{1 - G(\varphi^*)}\int_{\varphi^*}^{\infty} \varphi^{\sigma-1}g(\varphi)d\varphi\right]^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}$$

.

▲□▶▲□▶▲目▶▲目▶ 目 のQの

Zero Cutoff Profit Condition

• Let us denote \bar{r} as average revenues (revenues per firm), then

$$\bar{r}=rac{R}{M}=r(\tilde{arphi}).$$

Moreover, we have

$$\frac{r(\tilde{\varphi})}{r(\varphi^*)} = \left(\frac{\tilde{\varphi}}{\varphi^*}\right)^{\sigma-1}.$$

Hence,

$$\bar{r} = \left(rac{ ilde{\varphi}(\varphi^*)}{\varphi^*}
ight)^{\sigma-1} r(\varphi^*).$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Zero Cutoff Profit Condition

In the same manner, π
 is denoted as average profits (profits per firm). That
 is,

$$ar{\pi} = rac{\Pi}{M} = \pi(ilde{arphi}) = rac{r(ilde{arphi})}{\sigma} - f$$

Thus, we obtain that

$$\bar{\pi} = \left(\frac{\tilde{\varphi}(\varphi^*)}{\varphi^*}\right)^{\sigma-1} \frac{r(\varphi^*)}{\sigma} - f.$$

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Zero Cutoff Profit Condition

The zero profit condition means that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\varphi^*) &= \frac{r(\varphi^*)}{\sigma} - f = \mathbf{0} \iff \\ r(\varphi^*) &= \sigma f. \end{aligned}$$

• Therefore,

$$\bar{\pi} = \left(\frac{\tilde{\varphi}(\varphi^*)}{\varphi^*}\right)^{\sigma-1} \frac{r(\varphi^*)}{\sigma} - f$$

$$= \left(\frac{\tilde{\varphi}(\varphi^*)}{\varphi^*}\right)^{\sigma-1} \frac{\sigma f}{\sigma} - f$$

$$= f\left(\left(\frac{\tilde{\varphi}(\varphi^*)}{\varphi^*}\right)^{\sigma-1} - 1\right).$$

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Free Entry

• The net value of entry:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_{\mathsf{e}} &= (1 - \mathbf{G}(\varphi^*)) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (1 - \delta)^t \bar{\pi} - \mathbf{f}_{\mathsf{e}} \\ &= (1 - \mathbf{G}(\varphi^*)) \frac{\bar{\pi}}{\delta} - \mathbf{f}_{\mathsf{e}} \end{aligned}$$

Free entry implies that

$$v_e = 0 \iff$$

 $\bar{\pi} = rac{\delta f_e}{1 - G(\varphi^*)}.$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Equilibrium

We have

$$\bar{\pi} = f\left(\left(\frac{\tilde{\varphi}(\varphi^*)}{\varphi^*}\right)^{\sigma-1} - 1\right)$$
$$\bar{\pi} = \frac{\delta f_e}{1 - G(\varphi^*)}.$$

- So, there are two equations and two unknowns and we can solve for φ^* and $\bar{\pi}$.
- If we know φ^* , we can find $\tilde{\varphi}$, as $\tilde{\varphi} = \tilde{\varphi}(\varphi^*)$.

Equilibrium: Mass of Firms

- Let us denote *M_e* as the mass of entrants in each period.
- In steady state:

$$(1 - G(\varphi^*)) M_e = \delta M.$$

Labor market clearing condition:

$$L = L_p + L_e$$

where L_p is labor used in production and L_e is labor used to enter the industry.

Moreover,

$$L_p = R - \Pi.$$

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Equilibrium: Mass of Firms

• Finally,

$$L_e = M_e f_e$$
.

• Therefore,

$$L_{e} = M_{e}f_{e} = \frac{\delta M}{1 - G(\varphi^{*})}f_{e} = M\bar{\pi} = \Pi$$

In addition,

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{p}} + \Pi = \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{p}} + \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{L}.$$

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

Equilibrium: Mass of Firms

Hence,

$$egin{array}{rcl} R & = & L \ M & = & rac{R}{ar{r}} = rac{L}{\sigma(ar{\pi}+f)}. \end{array}$$

• Finally, the price index is given by

$$P = M^{rac{1}{1-\sigma}} p(ilde{\phi}) = M^{rac{1}{1-\sigma}} rac{1}{
ho ilde{\phi}}.$$

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

Analysis of the Equilibrium

- Notice that all the firm-level variables (φ^{*}, φ̃, π̄, and r̄) do no depend on the country size L.
- Welfare per worker:

$$W=rac{W}{P}=M^{rac{1}{\sigma-1}}
ho ilde{arphi}.$$

- So, we have the variety effect (through *M*) and the productivity effect (through *φ*).
- Welfare in a larger country is higher due to only the variety effect (a la Krugman (1980)).
- Note that in Krugman (1980), we can derive a similar expression for welfare, if all firms have productivity $\tilde{\varphi}$. However, in the Krugman model, $\tilde{\varphi}$ would be exogenous and is not affected by trade. In this model, $\tilde{\varphi}$ is an endogenous variable and is affected by trade.

Alexander Tarasov (University of Munich)

The Melitz Model

- If there are no trade costs, then trade allows the individual countries to replicate the outcome of the integrated world equilibrium. It is equivalent to a rise in a country size *L*. In this case, there is no effect on the firm-level variables and welfare increases because of the variety effect. The same result can be derived in the Krugman model.
- In the model, there are both variable (iceberg) and fixed costs of trade. Fixed costs should be paid after the realization of productivity. That is, firms know φ and then decide whether to export or not.
- n + 1 identical countries. Therefore, the wage is the same in all countries (FPE) and is normalized to unity.

Pricing Rule

• The domestic (producer) price is

$$p_d(\varphi) = rac{1}{
ho arphi}.$$

• The export price is given by

$$p_{\mathbf{X}}(\varphi) = au p_{\mathbf{d}}(\varphi) = rac{ au}{
ho arphi}$$

where τ is the Iceberg transport costs.

Revenues

The revenues earned from domestic sales are given by

$$r_{d}(\varphi) = R \left(P \rho \varphi \right)^{\sigma-1}$$

Revenues from export sales:

$$\begin{aligned} r_{\mathbf{X}}(\varphi) &= R \left(P \frac{\rho \varphi}{\tau} \right)^{\sigma-1} \\ &= \tau^{1-\sigma} R \left(P \rho \varphi \right)^{\sigma-1} \\ &= \tau^{1-\sigma} r_{\mathbf{d}}(\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

The total revenues depend on the export status:

$$r(\varphi) = \begin{cases} r_{d}(\varphi), & \text{if the firm does not export} \\ r_{d}(\varphi) + nr_{x}(\varphi), & \text{if the firm exports.} \end{cases}$$

Entry, Exit, and Export Status

- The export cost are equal across countries
 - a firm either exports in every period to all destinations or never export
- Export decision occurs after firms know their productivity *φ*: *f_x* is per period (per country) fixed costs of trade.
- Therefore,

$$\pi_{d}(\varphi) = \frac{r_{d}(\varphi)}{\sigma} - f$$
$$\pi_{x}(\varphi) = \frac{r_{x}(\varphi)}{\sigma} - f_{x}$$

Total profits:

$$\pi(\varphi) = \pi_{\mathbf{d}}(\varphi) + \max\left(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{n}\pi_{\mathbf{x}}(\varphi)\right).$$

Entry, Exit, and Export Status

By analogy with the closed economy, the firm value is given by

$$oldsymbol{
u}(arphi) = \max\left(\mathsf{0}, rac{\pi(arphi)}{\delta}
ight)$$

• The domestic cutoff:

$$\varphi^* = \inf\left(\varphi: \mathbf{v}(\varphi) > \mathbf{0}\right)$$

The exporting cutoff:

$$arphi_{\mathbf{x}}^{*}=\inf\left(arphi:arphi\geqarphi^{*} ext{ and }\pi_{\mathbf{x}}(arphi)>\mathbf{0}
ight)$$

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Entry, Exit, and Export Status

• If for instance $\varphi^* = \varphi^*_x$, then all firms in the industry export, then the cutoff φ^* solves

$$\pi(\varphi^*) = \pi_d(\varphi^*) + n\pi_x(\varphi^*) = \mathbf{0}$$

If φ^{*}_x > φ^{*}, then some firms produce only for their domestic market. Those firms do not export because of negative exporting profits. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_d(\varphi^*) &= & \mathbf{0} \\ \pi_x(\varphi^*_x) &= & \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that it cannot be the case φ^{*}_x < φ^{*}!!!

イロン 不得 とく ヨン イヨン 二日

Entry, Exit, and Export Status

- $\varphi_x^* > \varphi^*$ if and only if $\tau^{\sigma-1} f_x > f$ (this is our assumption).
- The distribution of productivities $\mu(\varphi)$ is the same as before. Namely,

$$\mu(\varphi) = \left\{ egin{array}{c} rac{\mathbf{g}(arphi)}{1-\mathbf{G}(arphi^*)}, & ext{if } arphi \geq arphi^* \ \mathbf{0}, & ext{otherwise.} \end{array}
ight.$$

The probability of successful entry is

$$p_{in} = 1 - G(\phi^*).$$

The probability of exporting (conditional on successful entry):

$$p_{\mathbf{X}} = \frac{1 - \mathbf{G}(\varphi_{\mathbf{X}}^*)}{1 - \mathbf{G}(\varphi^*)}$$

Entry, Exit, and Export Status

• Let *M* denote the equilibrium mass of firms, then

$$M_x = p_x M$$

is the mass of exporting firms.

Therefore,

$$M_t = M + nM_x$$

represents the total mass of varieties in any country.

Aggregation

It can be shown (see the class note) that

$$P = M_t^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} p(\tilde{\varphi}_t) = M_t^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \frac{1}{\rho \tilde{\varphi}_t},$$

where

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{t} = \left\{ \frac{1}{M_{t}} \left[M \left(\tilde{\varphi}(\varphi^{*}) \right)^{\sigma-1} + n M_{x} \left(\tau^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}(\varphi_{x}^{*}) \right)^{\sigma-1} \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}$$

is the weighted average productivity of all firms competing in a single market.

• $\tilde{\varphi}_t$ is the analogue of $\tilde{\varphi}$ in the closed economy.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Aggregation

Furthermore (see the class note),

$$extbf{R} = extbf{M}_{t} extbf{r}_{ extbf{d}} \left(ilde{arphi}_{t}
ight)$$

Finally, average revenues are given by

$$\bar{r} = \frac{R}{M} = r_{d}(\tilde{\varphi}(\varphi^{*})) + np_{x}r_{x}(\tilde{\varphi}(\varphi^{*}_{x}))$$

While average profits

$$ar{\pi} = \pi_{d}(ilde{arphi}(arphi^{*})) + np_{x}\pi_{x}(ilde{arphi}(arphi^{*}_{x}))$$

Equilibrium Conditions

The zero profit conditions imply that

$$\pi_{d}(\varphi^{*}) = 0 \iff \pi_{d}(\tilde{\varphi}(\varphi^{*})) = f\left(\left(\frac{\tilde{\varphi}(\varphi^{*})}{\varphi^{*}}\right)^{\sigma-1} - 1\right)$$

$$\pi_{\mathbf{X}}(\varphi_{\mathbf{X}}^*) = \mathbf{0} \iff \pi_{\mathbf{X}}(\tilde{\varphi}(\varphi_{\mathbf{X}}^*)) = f_{\mathbf{X}}\left(\left(\frac{\tilde{\varphi}(\varphi_{\mathbf{X}}^*)}{\varphi_{\mathbf{X}}^*}\right)^{v-1} - \mathbf{1}\right)$$

This implies that

$$\bar{\pi} = f\left(\left(\frac{\tilde{\varphi}(\varphi^*)}{\varphi^*}\right)^{\sigma-1} - 1\right) + np_x f_x\left(\left(\frac{\tilde{\varphi}(\varphi^*_x)}{\varphi^*_x}\right)^{\sigma-1} - 1\right)$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Equilibrium Conditions

• Finally,

• That is,

$$\frac{r_{\mathbf{x}}(\varphi_{\mathbf{x}}^{*})}{r_{\mathbf{d}}(\varphi^{*})} = \frac{f_{\mathbf{x}}}{f} = \tau^{1-\sigma} \left(\frac{\varphi_{\mathbf{x}}^{*}}{\varphi^{*}}\right)^{\sigma-1}$$

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{X}}^* = \tau \varphi^* \left(\frac{f_{\mathbf{X}}}{f}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}.$$

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

Equilibrium Conditions

The free entry condition remains unchanged

$$ar{\pi} = rac{\delta f_{\mathsf{e}}}{oldsymbol{p}_{\mathit{in}}}$$

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\pi} &= f\left(\left(\frac{\tilde{\varphi}(\varphi^*)}{\varphi^*}\right)^{\sigma-1} - 1\right) + np_x f_x\left(\left(\frac{\tilde{\varphi}(\varphi^*_x)}{\varphi^*_x}\right)^{\sigma-1} - 1\right) \\ \varphi^*_x &= \tau \varphi^* \left(\frac{f_x}{f}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

• The can solve for φ^* and $\bar{\pi}$.

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Equilibrium Conditions

- If we know φ^* , we can find φ^*_x , $\tilde{\varphi}_t$, $\tilde{\varphi}(\varphi^*)$, $\tilde{\varphi}(\varphi^*_x)$, p_{in} , and p_x .
- Along the steady state:

$$p_{in}M_e = \delta M$$

This means that

$$R = L$$

Finally, the mass of firms

$$M = \frac{R}{\bar{r}} = \frac{L}{\sigma \left(\bar{\pi} + f + p_x n f_x\right)}.$$

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

The Impact of Trade

 Let us denote φ^{*}_a as the cutoff in autarky, then from the picture in the class, it can be seen that

 $\varphi^* > \varphi^*_{\rm a}$

- The least productive firms with φ ∈ (φ^{*}_a, φ^{*}) no longer earn positive profits and, thereby, exit. It is consistent with the literature.
 - the reallocation of resources towards more productive firms
- Notice also that π̄ > π̄_a, which implies that M < M_a and the number of domestic producers will fall. However, as long as τ is not too high,

$$M_t = (1 + np_x)M > M_a.$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

The Impact of Trade

Intuition:

- The elasticity of demand is unaffected by trade opening, so the fall in profit for domestic producers is not explained by a fall in mark-ups driven by increased foreign competition.
- The actual channel operates through the domestic factor market. In particular, trade translates into increased profitable opportunities for firms (recall that $\bar{\pi}$ goes up). This translates into more entry, thereby increasing labor demand and (given the fixed supply of labor) leading to a rise in the real wage $\frac{W}{P}$. This, in turn, brings down the profit level of the least productive firms to a level that forces them to exit.

ヘロト ヘワト ヘビト ヘビト

The Impact of Trade

Welfare in autarky is given by

$$W_{a} = M_{a}^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}} \rho \tilde{\varphi} \left(\varphi_{a}^{*}\right) = \rho \left(\frac{L}{\sigma f}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}} \varphi_{a}^{*}$$

Similarly,

$$W = \rho \left(\frac{L}{\sigma f}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}} \varphi^*$$

• Since $\varphi^* > \varphi^*_a$, $W > W_a$. There are gains from trade.

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

The Impact of Trade

 Finally, we are interested in showing that the model can replicate the type of market shares reallocations found in the data. In particular, it is possible to show

$$\mathit{r_d}(arphi) < \mathit{r_a}(arphi) < \mathit{r_d}(arphi) + \mathit{nr_x}(arphi) \;\; ext{ for any } arphi \geq arphi^*$$

- The first part of the inequality implies that all firms incur a loss in domestic sales in the open economy.
- The second part shows that an exporting firm has higher total revenues: a decrease in domestic sales is compensated by a rise in exports.
- Therefore, a firm who exports increase its share of industry revenues while a firm who does not export loses market share: redistribution (consistent with the data).